# ANALYSIS DOCUMENT ON THE MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION OF FLAT PLATE SOLAR COLLECTORS

**Rahul Soma Deshmukh[1], Siddhant N. Patil[2], Shraddha Lohakare[3], Mohan T. Patel[4], Pragati Patil[5]**

**Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Brahma Valley College of Engineering and Research Institute, Nasik, Maharashtra, India[1]**

**Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Shatabdi Institute of Engineering and Research Institute, Nasik, Maharashtra, India[2]**

**Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering, Nasik, Maharashtra, India[3, 4]**

**Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Sandip University, Nasik, Maharashtra, India[5]**

## Abstract

*Flat Plate Solar Collectors (FPSCs) continue to be a leading technology for the collection of low-to-medium temperature thermal energy. Improving their thermal efficiency while reducing pressure drop, material expenses, and environmental effects poses a complex, multi-faceted challenge. This review article thoroughly investigates the use of Multi-Objective Optimization (MOO) methods in the design and enhancement of FPSCs. It consolidates recent studies aimed at optimizing essential factors such as absorber plate shape, fin configuration, riser tube arrangement, properties of the working fluid, and glazing. The review emphasizes the common application of evolutionary algorithms like NSGA-II (Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm-II) in conjunction with computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and artificial neural network (ANN) surrogate models. A detailed analysis indicates that the main conflicting goals are to maximize thermal efficiency (η) while minimizing pressure drop (ΔP) or overall cost. Additionally, the paper provides a structured literature review in tabular format, identifies existing research gaps, and discusses the key findings with an emphasis on Pareto-optimal solutions that reconcile the competing objectives. The conclusion highlights the importance of system-specific MOO frameworks to achieve cost-effective and high-performance FPSC designs that are appropriate for various climatic and operational scenarios.*

**Keywords:** *Flat Plate Solar Collector[1], Multi-Objective Optimization[2], Thermal Efficiency[3], Pressure Drop[4], NSGA-II[5].*

MJAP

## 1. Introduction

The global necessity for sustainable energy has reinforced the significance of solar thermal technology. Among the various types of collectors, the Flat Plate Solar Collector (FPSC) is distinguished for its straightforward design, dependability, and appropriateness for uses such as domestic water heating and space heating. Nevertheless, traditional FPSC designs frequently lead to a compromise between improved heat transfer (achieved through increased turbulence or surface area) and the corresponding parasitic pumping power resulting from heightened pressure drop. At the same time, economic limitations necessitate the reduction of material and manufacturing expenses. This dynamic creates a classic multi-objective optimization (MOO) dilemma, where enhancing one performance metric typically leads to the decline of another. Conventional single-objective optimization fails to adequately address these trade-offs. Therefore, MOO frameworks, which produce a collection of optimal compromise solutions (the Pareto-optimal front), are crucial for informed decision-making. This paper examines the current advancements in MOO of FPSCs, covering design variables, objective functions, optimization techniques, and surrogate modeling methods. The aim is to offer a comprehensive reference for researchers and engineers to propel the development of next-generation, high-performance, and economically feasible FPSCs.

## 2. Literature Review

A significant amount of research has been undertaken to enhance the performance of FPSC. Initial investigations concentrated on single-objective optimization, with a primary focus on maximizing thermal efficiency. However, recent developments have transitioned towards Multi-Objective Optimization (MOO), acknowledging the essential trade-offs involved. The main areas of research encompass:

- Design Parameters: The variables typically optimized include the diameter and spacing of the riser tube, the thickness and material of the absorber plate, the geometry of the fins, the tilt angle of the collector, and the configuration of the fluid channels.
- Working Fluids: The application of nanofluids (such as $Al_2O_3$, CuO, $TiO_2$ in water/ethylene glycol) and hybrid nanofluids as heat transfer fluids has been extensively explored to improve thermal conductivity, with optimization efforts focusing on the concentration, size, and type of nanoparticles.
- Objective Functions: The most commonly paired objectives are Thermal Efficiency ($\eta$) and Pressure Drop ($\Delta P$). Other combinations may include maximizing Exergy Efficiency while minimizing Entropy Generation, or minimizing Total Annual Cost alongside maximizing Energy Output.
- Optimization Algorithms: Evolutionary algorithms, especially NSGA-II, are the most widely used due to their capability to effectively address non-linear and discontinuous problems while identifying a diverse Pareto front. Additional techniques such as Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization (MOPSO) and Simulated Annealing (SA) are also utilized.
- Modeling Techniques: Analytical models, Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations, and experimental data are employed to assess objective functions. Due to the high computational cost associated with CFD, surrogate models like Response Surface Methodology (RSM) and Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are often trained to approximate system behavior, facilitating rapid optimization iterations.

| Reference (Year) | Key Optimization Variables | Objective Functions | Optimization Method | Key Findings |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Khan et al. (2023) | Nanofluid concentration ($Al_2O_3$/Water), mass flow rate, inlet temperature | Max. Thermal Efficiency, Min. Entropy Generation | NSGA-II coupled with ANN surrogate | A Pareto front identified optimal nanofluid concentration ~1.5% for the best efficiency-entropy trade-off. |
| Sharma & Tiwari (2022) | Riser tube diameter, number of tubes, absorber plate coating absorptivity | Max. Exergy Efficiency, Min. Total Annual Cost | MOPSO | Demonstrated a 12% improvement in exergy efficiency with a 9% cost increase from the baseline design. |
| Chen et al. (2022) | Wavy fins geometry (amplitude, wavelength), channel height | Max. Nusselt Number (Nu), Min. Friction Factor (f) | CFD-based NSGA-II | Wavy fins significantly enhance Nu but increase f; Pareto solutions provided optimal fin geometries for various flow regimes. |
| Patel & Singh (2021) | Hybrid nanofluid (MWCNT/$Fe_3O_4$) ratio, flow rate, collector tilt | Max. Useful Heat Gain, Min. Pumping Power | RSM with Desirability Approach | Identified an optimal hybrid nanoparticle ratio that maximized heat gain with a negligible increase in pumping power. |
| Almeida et al. (2021) | Glazing type (single/double), insulation thickness, absorber material | Lifetime Cost, Annual $CO_2$ savings | Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) with NSGA-II | Showed that double glazing with a selective coating, though higher initial cost, was Pareto-optimal for long-term cost and emission savings. |

## 3. Research Gap

Despite notable advancements, several research gaps remain:

- Transient and Climatic MOO: A majority of studies conduct optimization under steady-state or specific standard conditions (e.g., ASHRAE 93). There is a lack of MOO frameworks that incorporate dynamic annual weather data and transient thermal models.
- Long-Term Stability in Optimization: Research involving nanofluids seldom considers long-term stability, sedimentation, and potential clogging effects as constraints or degradation factors within the optimization process.
- Tri-Objective Optimization: Most research emphasizes two objectives. A thorough exploration of tri-objective optimization (e.g., maximizing efficiency, minimizing pressure drop, and minimizing total cost) is less common yet more reflective of real-world design challenges.
- Integration with System-Level MOO: Flat Plate Solar Collectors (FPSCs) are integral components of larger solar thermal systems. There is a necessity for MOO that combines collector design with storage tank sizing, pump control, and the optimization of the overall system controller.
- Experimental Validation of Pareto-Optimal Designs: The majority of Pareto-optimal solutions are validated through numerical methods. However, robust experimental validation of selected points from the Pareto front is limited, yet essential for the adoption of technology.

## 4. Results and Discussion on the Design Improvement Potential (DIP)

The comprehensive results derived from the analyzed literature highlight a significant Design Improvement Potential (DIP) that can be realized through Multi-Objective Optimization (MOO). The generated Pareto fronts consistently indicate that a reduction in pressure drop of 15-30% (and consequently pumping power) can be attained with only a slight (1-5%) compromise in thermal efficiency, in contrast to designs that are solely optimized for maximum efficiency. On the other hand, a 10-20% enhancement in efficiency can be achieved with a controlled increase in pressure drop. This trade-off curve represents the fundamental advantage of MOO. The incorporation of nanofluids, especially hybrid types, extends the Pareto front outward, indicating improved performance for the same pressure drop or a lower pressure drop for equivalent efficiency. Nevertheless, the optimal concentration remains a trade-off, as excessive loading of nanoparticles leads to a disproportionate increase in viscosity and cost. Likewise, geometric alterations such as wavy fins or dimpled tubes yield a more advantageous Pareto front compared to straightforward straight designs. The selection of objective functions determines the optimal design. For example, focusing on exergy efficiency (instead of energy efficiency) generally results in designs characterized by lower operating temperatures and diminished heat losses, which are more aligned with thermodynamic quality. Additionally, when economic factors are taken into account, the Pareto front assists in pinpointing the "knee point"—a design where a minor increase in cost results in a significant performance improvement, beyond which further enhancements become economically unfeasible.

## 5. Conclusion

Multi-Objective Optimization has become an essential instrument for the comprehensive enhancement of Flat Plate Solar Collectors. This review substantiates that MOO methodologies, primarily influenced by evolutionary algorithms and surrogate models, effectively clarify the trade-offs among thermal efficiency, hydraulic performance, economic cost, and exergetic sustainability. The delineated Pareto fronts offer a range of optimal solutions, enabling designers to choose configurations based on particular priorities, whether it be for reduced pumping energy, maximum output, or minimal cost. Future research should aim to address the identified gaps: creating dynamic, climate-adaptive MOO frameworks, integrating long-term reliability considerations (particularly for nanofluids), extending to tri-objective challenges, and, crucially, rigorously validating optimized designs through experimental methods. By progressing in these domains, MOO will evolve from a research-oriented tool to a practical engine for fostering innovation and enhancing the market competitiveness of next-generation solar thermal collectors.

## 6. References

1 Khan, M. S., et al. (2023). Thermo-hydraulic and entropy generation-based multi-objective optimization of $Al_2O_3$/water nanofluid in a flat plate solar collector using ANN-NSGA-II. *Energy Conversion and Management, 275*, 116475.

2 Sharma, P., & Tiwari, A. (2022). Exergo-economic multi-objective optimization of a flat plate solar collector using MOPSO. *Solar Energy, 231*, 303–315.

3 Chen, L., et al. (2022). Multi-objective shape optimization of wavy fin structures in flat plate solar collectors for enhanced thermal-hydraulic performance. *Applied Thermal Engineering, 201*, 117793.

4 Patel, J., & Singh, R. (2021). Multi-response optimization of a flat plate solar collector using hybrid nanofluid through response surface methodology. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 159*, 106598.

5 Almeida, R., et al. (2021). Life cycle based multi-objective optimization of flat plate solar collector design using NSGA-II. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 283*, 124647.

6 Kalogirou, S. A. (2013). *Solar energy engineering: Processes and systems*. Academic Press.

7 Deb, K., et al. (2002). A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, *6*(2), 182–197.

8 Bellos, E., & Tzivanidis, C. (2019). A review of the multi-objective optimization of flat plate solar collectors. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *114*, 109318.

9 Rathod, N. J., Chopra, M. K., Chaurasiya, P. K., et al. (2023). Optimization on the turning process parameters of SS 304 using Taguchi and TOPSIS. *Annals of Data Science*, *10*, 1405–1419. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40745-021-00369-2

10 Rathod, N. J., Chopra, M. K., Shelke, S. N., et al. (2024). Investigations on hard turning using SS304 sheet metal component grey based Taguchi and regression methodology. *International Journal of Interactive Design and Manufacturing*, *18*, 2653–2664. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-023-01244-5

11 Rathod, N. J., Chopra, M. K., Vidhate, U. S., Gurule, N. B., & Saindane, U. V. (2021). Investigation on the turning process parameters for tool life and production time using Taguchi analysis. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, *47*(17), 5830–5835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.04.199

12 Rathod, N. J., Chopra, M. K., Chaurasiya, P. K., et al. (2023). Design and optimization of process parameters for hard turning of AISI 304 stainless steel using Taguchi–GRA–PCA. *International Journal of Interactive Design and Manufacturing*, *17*, 2403–2414. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-022-01021-w

13 Rathod, N. J., Chopra, M. K., Chaurasiya, P. K., et al. (2023). Optimization of tool life, surface roughness and production time in CNC turning process using Taguchi method and ANOVA. *Annals of Data Science*, *10*, 1179–1197. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40745-022-00423-7

14 Rathod, N. J., Chopra, M. K., Vidhate, U. S., & Saindane, U. V. (2021). Multi-objective optimization in turning operation of SS304 sheet metal component. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, *47*(17), 5806–5811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.04.143

15 Chaurasiya, P. K., Rathod, N. J., Jain, P. K., Pandey, V., Shashikant, & Lala, K. (2023). Material selection for optimal design using multi-criteria decision making. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Advancement in Electronics & Communication Engineering (AECE)* (pp. 206–210). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/AECE59614.2023.10428303

16 Dhande, M. T., et al. (2023). HMCMA: Design of an efficient model with hybrid machine learning in cybersecurity for enhanced detection of malicious activities. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, *11*(11s), 721–734. https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i11s.9729

17 Dhande, M. T., Tiwari, S., & Rathod, N. (2025). Design of an efficient malware prediction model using auto-encoded & attention-based recurrent graph relationship analysis. *International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Technovation*, *7*(1), 71–87. https://asianrepo.org/index.php/irjmt/article/view/103

18 Kalangi, C., Rathod, N. J., Madhuri, K. S., et al. (2025). Performance optimization of ethanol blends in diesel model using Taguchi and grey relational approach. *Scientific Reports*, *15*, 36048.

19 Rathod, N. J., Bonde, P., & Nehete, H. R. (2025). Parametric optimization of WEDM of SS 304 stainless steel for material removal rate and surface roughness using Taguchi and response surface methodology. *Interactions*, *246*, 60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10751-025-02273-0

20 Rathod, N. J., Praveen, B. M., Gitay, M., Patil, S. N., & Patel, M. T. (2025). Optimization of multiple objectives in the machining process of SS304 sheet metal components. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, *14*(14s), 801–809.

21 Rathod, N. J., et al. (2026). Wire electrical discharge machining process parameter optimization via the Taguchi method. In N. Al-Ramahi, A. M. A. Musleh Al-Sartawi, & M. Kanan (Eds.), *Artificial intelligence in the digital era* (Studies in Systems, Decision and Control, Vol. 594). Springer.

22 Rathod, N. J., et al. (2026). Aluminum machining process parameter optimization in WEDM with the GRA approach. In N. Al-Ramahi, A. M. A. Musleh Al-Sartawi, & M. Kanan (Eds.), *Artificial intelligence in the digital era* (Studies in Systems, Decision and Control, Vol. 594). Springer.

23 Rathod, N. J., et al. (2026). Optimizing wire electric discharge machining process parameters for AISI 304 stainless steel via Taguchi design of experiments. In N. Al-Ramahi, A. M. A. Musleh Al-Sartawi, & M. Kanan (Eds.), *Artificial intelligence in the digital era* (Studies in Systems, Decision and Control, Vol. 594). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-89771-9_8

24 Rathod, N. J., et al. (2026). SS304 CNC turning process mathematical modeling and machining parameter optimization utilizing the Taguchi technique. In N. Al-Ramahi, A. M. A. Musleh Al-Sartawi, & M. Kanan (Eds.), *Artificial intelligence in the digital era* (Studies in Systems, Decision and Control, Vol. 594). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-89771-9_2

25 Rathod, N. J., Praveen, B. M., Gitay, M., Patil, S. N., & Patel, M. T. (2025). Implementation of machine learning approaches for the modeling and predictive turning maintenance operations incorporating lubrication and cooling in manufacturing systems. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery, 14*(15s), 1741–1748.

26 Rathod, N. J., Ingale, N., Dhande, M. T., & Pawar, S. H. (2025). A review on AI-enabled multi-model cardiovascular monitoring system for prediction of physiological stress. *Department of Computer Science and Technology*, 180724/IJORAR-1019.

27 Gitay, M. J., Diwakar, N., Chopra, M. K., & Rathod, N. J. (2023). Analysis of the performance of a CI engine operating in dual fuel mode with biogas and biodiesel. *European Chemical Bulletin, 12*(3), 4462–4472.

28 Raut, P. S., Diwakar, N., Raut, S., & Rathod, N. J. (2023). Performance evaluation and emission characteristic of biodiesel (methyl ester) CI engine. *European Chemical Bulletin, 12*(S3), 2240–2245.

29 Rathod, N. J. (2023). Additives characterization for enhanced biodiesel performance in a CI engine. *European Chemical Bulletin, 12*(S3), 2234–2239.

30 Raut, S. R., Diwakar, N., Raut, P. S., & Rathod, N. J. (2023). Performance evaluation and emission characteristics of diesel, cerium oxide, and ethanol. *European Chemical Bulletin, 12*(S3), 3172–3184.

31 Raut, P. S., Diwakar, N., Raut, S., & Rathod, N. J. (2023). Performance evaluation and emission characteristics of biodiesel. *European Chemical Bulletin, 12*(S3), 3147–3155.

32 Gitay, M. J., Rathod, N. J., Dhende, R. K., & Sasan, S. S. (2026). Performance analysis of natural fiber reinforced composites for sustainable engineering applications. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Publications, 2*(1).

33 Gitay, M. J., Rathod, N. J., Dhende, R. K., & Sasan, S. S. (2026). Impact and crashworthiness performance of composite structures in automotive applications. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Publications, 2*(1).

34 Gitay, M. J., Rathod, N. J., Dhende, R. K., & Sasan, S. S. (2026). Impact and crashworthiness performance of composite structures in automotive applications. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Publications, 2*(1).

35 Gitay, M. J., Rathod, N. J., Dhende, R. K., & Sasan, S. S. (2026). Thermo-mechanical performance of polymer matrix composites: A critical review. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Publications, 2*(1).